Facility Planning: SM -- No. 809319

Category Agency Planning Area **Conservation of Natural Resources Environmental Protection**

Countywide

Previous PDF Page Number Required Adequate Public Facility

January 6, 2004 15-4(03 App) NO

Relocation Impact None

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000)

				EXPERIENCE	JIL SCITE	יטפון ששטע.	00)				
Cost Element	Total	Thru FY03	Est. FY04	Total 6 Years	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design	C 247	2.004	000	2 270	395	395	205	205	395	395	
and Supervision	6,317	3,081	866	2,370	395	393	395	395	393	393	U
Land											
Site Improvements											
and Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction											
Other	42	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	6,359	3,123	866	2,370	395	395	395	395	395	395	
				FUNDING	G SCHED	JLE (\$000)					
Current Revenue:											
General	5,422	2,186	866	2,370	395	395	395	395	395	395	0
Stormwater											
Management						1					
Waiver Fees	797	797	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0
State Aid	140	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			ANNU	AL OPERA	TING BUD	GET IMPA	CT (\$000)				

DESCRIPTION

This project provides funds for facility planning and feasibility studies to evaluate watershed conservation needs and identify remedial project alternatives for stormwater management, stormwater retrofit, low impact development (LID), and stream restoration projects under consideration for possible inclusion in the CIP. In addition, facility planning serves as a transition stage for a project in the CIP. Selected projects vary in type including: preparation of watershed conservation plans, including stream erosion and habitat assessments; inventories of alternative stream restoration and retrofit projects; complementary nonstructural measures to help mitigate degraded stream conditions in rural and developed watersheds; identification of potential flood problems and flood damage reduction measures; and hydrologic, hydraulic, and water quality analyses to quantify impacts of watershed development and implemented projects. Facility planning is a decision-making process that investigates critical project elements such as: usage forecasts; economic, social, environmental, and historic impact analyses; public participation; potential non-County funding sources; and detailed project cost estimates. Facility planning represents planning and preliminary design and develops a program of requirements in advance of full programming of a project in the CIP. As a result of determination of purpose and need through this process, a project may or may not proceed to construction. For a full description of the facility planning process, see the CIP Planning Section in Volume I.

Service Area

Countywide.

JUSTIFICATION

Facility planning supports requirements for watershed assessments required in the County's NPDES stormwater permit for municipal stormwater discharges and to implement the Countywide Stream Protection Strategy (CSPS, February 1998). There is a continuing need for the development of accurate cost estimates and an exploration of alternatives for proposed projects. This project establishes the facilities planning data and alternatives analyses needed to identify and set priorities for individual capital projects. Facility planning costs for all projects which ultimately become stand-alone PDFs are included here and will not be reflected in the resulting individual project. Future individual CIP projects which result from facility planning will each reflect reduced planning and design costs. **Plans and Studies**

The Countywide Stream Protection Strategy (CSPS) identified the condition of County watersheds and prioritized subwatersheds for protection and/or restoration. Facility planning studies are targeted based on the CSPS.

Increase due to the addition of FY09 and FY10 to this ongoing project.

STATUS

Ongoing. OTHER

Ongoing projects are in the Watts Branch and Lower Paint Branch Watersheds. Projects planned for FY05-06 include: Completion of the Watts Branch and Lower Paint Branch Watershed Management Feasibility studies; start of the Great Seneca Creek and Muddy Branch Feasibility studies; and automated fixed monitoring station operations required by NPDES permit.

COORDINATION

M-NCPPC

road impacts.

WSSC

*Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

APPROPRIATION AN	D	
EXPENDITURE DATA	١	
Date First Appropriation	FY93	(\$000)
Initial Cost Estimate		1,110
First Cost Estimate		
Current Scope	FY05	6,359
Last FY's Cost Estimate		5,569
Present Cost Estimate		6,359
Appropriation Request	FY05	395
Appropriation Request Est.	FY06	395
Supplemental		
Appropriation Request	FY04	0
Transfer		0
Cumulative Appropriation		3,989
Expenditures/		
Encumbrances		3,664
Unencumbered Balance		325
Partial Closeout Thru	FY02	0
New Partial Closeout	FY03	0
Total Partial Closeout		0

MAP 80 U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Department of Permitting Services DPWT will coordinate on damaged storm drain outfalls identified in Watershed studies and on stream restoration improvements for mitigating The Executive asserts that this project conforms to the requirements of relevant local plans, as required by the Maryland Economic Growth, Resource Protection and Planning Act. MONTGOMERY COUNTY MARYLAND